IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA COLUMBIA DIVISION

Ronald Brunson,)	C/A No.: 3:11-cv-2132-JFA
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
VS.)	ORDER
)	
Federal Bureau of Investigation,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

The *pro se* plaintiff, Ronald Brunson, brings this civil action against the Federal Bureau of Investigation. In his Complaint, Plaintiff alleges that he suffered bodily injury sometime in 2005 when he was in the custody of the FBI or when he spoke to the FBI. (ECF No. 1). Plaintiff filed this action *in forma pauperis* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

The Magistrate Judge assigned to this action¹ has prepared a thorough Report and Recommendation and opines that the plaintiff's complaint should be summarily dismissed without service of process pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The Report sets forth in detail the relevant facts and standards of law on this matter, and the court incorporates such without a recitation.

¹ The Magistrate Judge's review is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

3:11-cv-02132-JFA Date Filed 12/09/11 Entry Number 26 Page 2 of 2

The plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report and

Recommendation, which was entered on the docket on September 30, 2011. Rather than

filing objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, on October 6,

2011, the plaintiff filed a Motion to Amend his Complaint (ECF No. 18) and a Notice of

Appeal (ECF No. 19). A few days later, Plaintiff sent a letter requesting that an attached

administrative tort claim be added to his appeal. None of these documents serves as

Objections to the Report and Recommendation. Furthermore, the plaintiff has not raised

any cognizable objections to the Report and Recommendation in his filings. In the

absence of specific objections to the Report of the Magistrate Judge, this court is not

required to given any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v.

Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

After carefully reviewing the applicable laws, the record in this case, and the

Report and Recommendation, this court finds the Magistrate Judge's recommendation

fairly and accurately summarizes the facts and applies the correct principles of law. The

Report is incorporated herein by reference.

Accordingly, this action is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and

service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

December 8, 2011

Columbia, South Carolina

Joseph F. Anderson, Jr.

Joseph F. anderson, J.

United States District J